Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,417	ZIMMERMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Bob A. Phunkulh	2661
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Bob A. Phunkulh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Chad W. Miller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 February 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		ı
Claims discussed: Claim 30 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: As set forth in Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	whhileaur a wehi eachiguine ai	gnature – ii appropriate)